Approximate planning, controller synthesis, and anomaly detection through variational inequalities and linear complementarity problems

Variational inequalities (VIs), linear complementarity problems (LCPs), and approximation

What are variational inequalities?

• Variational inequalities represent important conditions that occur frequently in equilibrium and optimization problems. • Based on an operator *F* and a subset *C*:

$$\langle y - x, Fx \rangle \ge 0, \forall y \in C$$

• First order sufficient conditions for minimizing a proper convex function *f* over a convex set *C* is an important example

$$\langle y - x, \partial f(x) \rangle \ge 0, \forall y \in C$$

- Any feasible direction y x must have a non-negative first-order variation, increasing the function value Application areas include:
 - Optimization; in particular this encompasses planning, reinforcement learning, control synthesis, classification, and anomaly detection
 - Equilibria finding in engineering including traffic equilibria and structural equilibria
 - Equilibria finding in game theory; sufficient conditions for the Nash equilibrium can be expressed as a variational inequality
- Physical simulation; especially those involving non-penetration constraints
- LCPs are a restricted class of VIs where the operator is affine and the subset is a cone

$$X \ni x \perp y = Mx + q \ge x \in K^*$$

• The KKT system for quadratic programs are LCPs:

 $\min x^{\top}Qx + c^{\top}x$

Subject to

 $Ax \leq b$

 $Cx \leq d$

What is this project about?

• Our work is on solving monotone LCPs approximately, by solving a *projective LCP* that approximates the above system within the span of some basis Φ • An operator F is monotone over set C if

$$\langle Fx - Fy, x - y \rangle \ge 0, \forall x, y \in C$$

 $\min_{x \to 1} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2$

 $YXw \ge 1$

Subject to

 Monotone operators are related to convex problems: the KKT operator associated with convex problems are monotone

$$N = \Pi_{\Phi}M + \Pi_{\perp}, \quad r = \Pi_{\Phi}q, \qquad 0 \le x \perp \Pi_{\Phi}$$

• Developed a fast interior point solver that works with projective LCPs in time that is $O(nk^2)$ per iteration, rather than $O(n^{2+\epsilon})$, a huge saving if $k \ll n$

Application: classification via support vector machines (SVMs)

- Support vector machines (SVMs) are a machine learning model useful for classification and regression
- SVMs find hyperplanes (in some features space) that do a good job of splitting positively labeled data from negatively labeled data
 - Using different feature spaces leads to decision boundaries that can appear highly non-linear
- Fitting the (hard margin) SVM model can be done with a quadratic program that maximizes the margin between positive and negative points.
 - *Y* is a diagonal matrix of the labels
 - X be an $N \times d$ feature matrix.
 - w is the normal vector; want a normal vector with small magnitude
- The dual program, after some rearrangement, is a simply constrained cone-constrained problem:

$$\min \alpha^{\mathsf{T}} Y X X^{\mathsf{T}} Y \alpha - 1^{\mathsf{T}} \alpha$$

• $y \circ \alpha$ is the weight for each point in a decision function:

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}[\sum_{i}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} k(x, x_{i})]$$

- This can be simply written as an LCP where $M = YXX^{T}Y$ and q = -1
- Our approach approximates the symmetric, monotone SVM LCP using a projective LPC
- Good approximation tends to smooth out the α
 - Exact SVMs have sparse α ; points corresponding to non-zero components of α are called *support vectors*
 - This smoothing behavior seems to have nice statistical properties
 - Limits how precisely a single point's weights can be set
 - Approximation can make the SVM fit more robust to label noise

Erik Zawadzki

André Platzer

Geoffrey Gordon

epz@cs.cmu.edu aplatzer@cs.cmu.edu

ggordon@cs.cmu.edu



 $_{\mathrm{D}}Mx + \Pi_{\mathrm{L}}x + \Pi_{\mathrm{D}}q \ge 0$



0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

Application: policy synthesis via Markov Decision Processes (MDPs)

$$\min_{v} - p^{\mathsf{T}}$$
Subject to

$$v \le c_a + \gamma P_a^{\mathsf{T}} v, \forall a \in A$$

- state s: $f_a(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t P(s_t = s, a_t = a)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{R}^{S} \\ \mathbb{R}^{S}_{+} \\ \mathbb{R}^{S}_{+} \end{bmatrix} \ni \begin{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

- Called the "curse of dimensionality"
- tackle many real-world planning problems



• MDPs are a general framework for sequential decision making under uncertainty

• A solution is a *policy*, or a function that maps states to optimal actions

• Finding a policy called planning, or controller synthesis, or learning

• Discrete states transition to other discrete states probabilistically

• Transition function p(s'|s, a) governs how states transition to a new state s' given an action

• Cost function c(s, a) describes that immediate cost of a state-action pair

• Costly states may still have high *value*—paying an initial up-front cost might be optimal • Exact problem can be solved with iterative procedures like value iteration or directly with a linear program

• Value iteration finds the value function $v(s) = \min_{a} [c(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s'} v(s')]$

• The value function describes the long-term cost of being in a particular state

• Implicitly describes the optimal policy: $\pi^*(s) = \operatorname{argmin}_a[c(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s'} v(s')]$

• MDPs can be solved via the following linear programs :

$$\min_{v} \sum_{a} c_{a} f_{a}$$
Subject to

 $\sum_{a}^{A} f_{a} = p + \sum_{a}^{A} P_{a} f_{a}$

• The dual variables are *flow function* that that describe the expected number of times the system will perform action *a* in

• Also implicitly describe the optimal policy: $\pi^*(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a f_a(s)$ • All linear programs can be expressed as LCPs; this is the MDP LCP:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} \perp \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I - \gamma P_1 & I - \gamma P_2 \\ \gamma P_1^\top - I & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma P_2^\top - I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -p \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{R}^S_+ \\ \mathbb{R}^S_+ \end{bmatrix}$$

• General purpose MDP solvers work on discrete state-spaces

• Can model continuous physical systems (e.g. robotic control, plant control) by discretizing dynamics

• State-space may be *huge;* many important MDPs are intractable to solve exactly

• D-dimensional continuous physical system with N points per dimension has N^D states.

• This is usually intractable for $N \ge 5$ (depends on smoothness of dynamics)

• Approximation solution methods, like least-squares policy iteration, policy search, and fitted value iteration are necessary to

• Our approach approximations both the value and flow functions via a projective LCP

• Can use both approximate value and flow functions together via an actor-critic RL method, like Monte Carlo Tree Search

