# Sensitivity Analysis of Probabilistic Workflow Models with Security Constraints

John C. Mace, Nipun Thekkummal, Aad van Moorsel School of Computing Science, Newcastle University, UK john.mace@ncl.ac.uk, nipun.thekkummal@ncl.ac.uk, aad.vanmoorsel@ncl.ac.uk

## BACKGROUND

- Workflow security constraints restrict which tasks a user can perform each time a workflow is executed
- Completing a workflow consists of assigning each task to an available user whilst respecting all security constraints
- Security constraints can make the availability of some users more critical than others for workflow completion
- A user with a junior role may be more critical to workflow completion and arguably have more 'power' than a user with a senior role
- Malicious users could use their power to obstruct workflow completion by restricting their availability. This may necessitate security constraint overrides to complete a workflow
- The maximum probability of workflow completion by users who may become unavailable is known as *workflow resiliency*<sup>1</sup>
- We want to identify the power of users by measuring how changes in

### SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS USING DIFFERENTIAL METHOD

- Sensitivity analysis determines how different values of a model's input parameters impact the model's output value
- Differential analysis is conducted by changing one parameter at a time whilst all other parameters are assigned their mean value
- The rate of change of output to input values is calculated for the entire range of inputs and summed to get the sensitivity coefficient

Sensitivity coefficient = 
$$\sum \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} \times \frac{x}{y}\right)$$

- The sensitivity of each user's availability is a good indicator of their power over the resiliency of a workflow
- A large change in a user's availability may have little or no effect on resiliency whilst a small change may have a large effect
- Security constraints can be reconfigured to redistribute and align user

user availability impact the resiliency of a workflow

#### SECURITY CONSTRAINED WORKFLOWS

- We consider workflows with:
  - Authorization constraints ~ which individual tasks can be assigned to which users
  - Separation of duty constraints ~ which tasks cannot be assigned to the same user in a single execution
  - Binding of duty constraints ~ which tasks which must be assigned to the same user in a single execution



 $\begin{bmatrix} u_1, u_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_2, u_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1, u_3 \end{bmatrix}$   $\underbrace{t_1}_{\checkmark} \underbrace{\neq}_{\checkmark} \underbrace{t_2}_{\checkmark} \underbrace{\leftarrow}_{\checkmark} \underbrace{t_3}$ 

Workflow example

Workflow security constraints

- Each user is authorized to perform two tasks, e.g. u<sub>2</sub> can be assigned to tasks t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>
- Two separation of duty constraints between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , and  $t_2$  and  $t_3$
- User  $u_2$  cannot be assigned to  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in the same workflow execution

## WORKFLOW RESILIENCY

power with the seniority of their role

#### SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS IN PRISM

 We have implemented sensitivity analysis functionality into the probabilistic model checker PRISM



- **GUI mode** PRISM generates a plot for each parameter where the slope of the plot signifies the parameter's sensitivity
- Command Line mode PRISM ranks input parameters by their sensitivity using the sensitivity coefficients
- Workflow resiliency can be computed by modelling an abstracted workflow task assignment process<sup>2</sup>
- The probability of user u<sub>i</sub> being available for authorized task t<sub>j</sub> is an input parameter P<sub>ij</sub> for a workflow model<sup>3</sup>
- Model properties are verified using the probabilistic model checker PRISM<sup>4</sup>
- We ask PRISM to verify the maximum probability of reaching a model state which indicates workflow completion



Input parameter sensitivity for example workflow model		
1. P <sub>11</sub> :0.246	2. P <sub>21</sub> :0.087	3. P <sub>32</sub> :0.167
1. P <sub>13</sub> :0.246	2. P <sub>33</sub> :0.087	3. P <sub>22</sub> :0.167

• User  $u_1$  has most power over the resiliency of the workflow example, distributed equally across both tasks  $t_1$  and  $t_3$ 

#### REFERENCES

- 1. J. C. Mace, C. Morisset, A. van Moorsel "Quantitative Workflow Resiliency", ESORICS, 2014
- 2. J. C. Mace, C. Morisset, A. van Moorsel "Impact of Policy Design on Workflow Resiliency Computation Time", *QEST*, 2015
- 3. J. C. Mace, C. Morisset, A. van Moorsel "Modelling User Availability in Workflow Resiliency Analysis", *HotSoS*, 2015
- 4. M. Kwiatkowska, G. Norman, D. Parker "PRISM 4.0: Verification of Probabilistic Realtime Systems", *CAV*, 2011

This material is based upon work supported by the Maryland Procurement Office under Contract No. H98230-14-C-0141



SCIENCE OF SECURITY VIRTUAL ORGANIZATION Funded by the National Security Agency.

