

An Approach to Software Vulnerability Analysis (SVA)

Kestrel Institute Jim McDonald March 29, 2001



Outline

- Project goals
- Project strategy and flow
- Initial success story
- Current vision
- Description of the demo
- Project plans



Kestrel Institute

SVA Project goals

- Build characterization of
 Build inference & software vulnerability to support computation
 - Organized
 - Semantic rigor
 - Reusable
 - Extendable

analysis tools to detect vulnerabilities

- Automation
- Mixed initiative
- Demonstrate detection of real vulnerabilities



Subtle flaws

- Elude smart compiler buffer overflow detection increasingly tractable
- Multiple element interactions possibly great complexity
- Handle protocol implementations optimization can cloud interactions
- Typically require human assessment & guided search to assess impact



SVA Project flow





August 8, 2000: real flaws

[ed note: text taken from Dan Brumleve's website]

2000.08.03, San Francisco

I've discovered a pair of new capabilities in Java, one residing in the Java core and the other in Netscape's Java distribution. The first (exploited in **BOServerSocket and BOSocket**) allows Java to open a server which can be accessed by arbitrary clients. The second (BOURLConnection and BOURLInputStream) allows Java to access arbitrary URLs, including local files.

As a demonstration, I've written **BOHTTPD** for Netscape Communicator. BOHTTPD is a browser-resident web server and file-sharing tool that demonstrates these two problems in Netscape Communicator. BOHTTPD will serve files from a directory of your choice, and will also act as an HTTP/FTP proxy server. [ed note: "open door"]



Two days later

[ed note: text taken from Dan Brumleve's website]

2000.08.05

Right now I'm at the internet cafe (Club I) at 850 Folsom in San Francisco (between 4th and 5th street). I'll be here until 2:00 a.m. showing demos to anybody interested.

A guy showed up here and made BOHTTPD multithreaded. This new functionality is live right now...

WHOA! I just saw a Windows 2000 system that was still running BOHTTPD even after Netscape had been apparently terminated. Even the "Task Manager" showed no trace. [ed note: "door stays open"]







Anatomy of the "BO" attack

```
public class BOHTTP extends Applet {
  . . .
 public void init () {
  . . .
 ess = new BOServerSocket(port);
  . . .
 public void run () {
   BOSocket client;
   client = ess.accept.any();
   BOHTTPConnection ff = new BOHTTPConnection();
  (new Thread(ff)).start();
```



. . .

Anatomy of the "BO" attack

public class BOServerSocket extends ServerSocket {

public BOSocket accept_any () throws IOException { BOSocket s = new BOSocket(); try { implAccept(s); } catch (SecurityException se) { } **Does Nothing!** return s; public class **BOSocket** extends Socket { public void close real () throws IOException { super.close(); **Does Nothing!** public void close () { }



Anatomy of the "BO" attack

- protected <u>final</u> void implAccept (Socket socket) throws IOException { try
 - { socket.impl.address = new InetAddress();
 - socket.impl.fd = new FileDescriptor();
 - impl.accept(socket.impl);
 - SecurityManager securitymanager = System.getSecurityManager();
 - if (securitymanager != null)
 - { securitymanager.checkAccept(socket.getInetAddress().getHostAddress(), socket.getPort());

return; }



Anatomy of the "BO" attack

Class BOURLConnection extends URLConnection {

```
public BOURLConnection (URL u) {
    super(u);
    connected = true;
}
```

Class BOURLInputStream extends URLInputStream {

```
...
public BOURLInputStream (URLConnection uc)
    throws IOException {
    super(uc);
    open();
  }
```



. . .

Anatomy of the "BO" attack

class BOHTTPDConnection implements Runnable {



Concepts lead to queries

1.

2.

"Requisite" Sports Analogy **Spoofed** exception handler Flawed security mechanism $\langle \rangle$ **Files exposed** across the net

- *Find all methods M* that can be overridden; compute their traces[†]
- Find all sensitive regions R; in this case, those handling security mechanisms
- 3. Look for *traces* of methods in M *that pass through R*

† Leverage from bytecode verifier tech base.





end-spec

Code-Region =
{context : method,
 start : pc,
 end : pc,
 attributes : set CR-Attribute}

end-spec



Initial queries on Brumleve's code



New entries for the semantic taxonomy









{

Finding more than expected

From java.net.DatagramSocket :

public synchronized void receive (DatagramPacket datagrampacket) throws IOException

```
SecurityManager securitymanager = System.getSecurityMaganager();
synchronized(datagrampacket)
```

```
{ if (securitymanager != null) do
```

{ InetAddress inetaddress = new InetAddress();

```
int I = impl.peek(inetaddress);
```

try

{ securitymanager.checkConnect(inetaddress.getHostAddress(), I);

break; }

catch (SecurityException _ex)

{ DatagramPacket datagrampacket2 = new DatagramPacket (new byte[1], 1); impl.<u>receive</u>(datagrampacket2); }

} while (true);

impl.receive(datagrampacket);



Current vision





Description of Demonstration

- Background:
 - Show infrastructure for analyzing Java byte code
- Ideas:
 - <u>spoofable</u> invocation virtual invocation of non-final method
 - ♦ <u>sensitive</u> region try/catch/throw involving security, etc.
 - Intersection is a vulnerability
- Demo:
 - Write specs to instantiate these ideas
 - Generate code to find and report vulnerabilities

Kestrel Institute

SVA Project Plans

- Infrastructure optimizations
 - 10 hours \rightarrow 1 minute
- Enrich language for syntactic patterns
- Enrich language for semantic attributes
- Analyze tantalizing results
- Scan other target applications
- New CERT/BUGTRAC cases
- Construct taxonomy of vulnerabilities



Backup slides follow





How is this "not Norton"?

- Norton
 - Collection of fixed patterns matched against application
- No analysis
 - Won't find new flaws
 - Won't find variations on existing patterns

- Specware-based
 - Uses abstractions of known flaws
- Analysis aided by automation
 - Query synthesis to find flaws attributable to single or combinations of elements
 - Computer-based inference to find unprecedented flaw structures
 - Encourages expert initiative to find new flaws