Compositional Security Pls: Anupam Datta, Limin Jia and Jeannette Wing **Carnegie Mellon University**

http://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/danupam/compositional-security.html

The goal of this project is to develop a general theory of compositional security that can support the construction and analysis of secure systems Identify composition operators for systems, adversaries and properties.

- Develop compositional reasoning principles
- □ Apply theory to improve Web and hypervisor security
- Prior work
 - Protocol composition [Datta, Derek, Durgin, Mitchell, Pavlovic, Roy, ...]
 - General first-order software system composition in the presence of *interface*-

Do $S_1 + S_2$ satisfy a global security property φ based on local properties ψ_1 of S_1 and ψ_2 of S_2 that are checkable separately?



confined adversaries [Garg, Franklin, Kaynar, Datta]

Currently: Higher-order functions (code is first-class data) [Jia, Garg, Datta]

Approach

- System abstraction: Model the system using a programming language
 - Types specify the trace properties
 - Typing rules reason about compositions
 - Adversaries are confined to the set of interfaces (first-order)

Complex, increasingly mobile, software architecture requires reasoning about higher-order functions

Attackers can supply code using higher-order interfaces

φ Ψ_2 S₂

- Reasoning principles
 - Local reasoning: $\vdash P : \{\varphi\}$ (in the presence of adversaries)
 - Adversary: $\vdash A : \{\varphi_A\}$ (given fixed set of interfaces)
 - Compositional reasoning:

$$\Gamma_1 \vdash \mathcal{P}_1 : \{\varphi_1\} \dots \Gamma_n \vdash \mathcal{P}_n : \{\varphi_n\} \vdash \mathcal{A} : \{\varphi_A\} \vdash \Gamma_1 \dots \vdash \Gamma_n$$

 $\vdash \mathcal{P}_1 \mid \ldots \mid \mathcal{P}_n \mid \mathcal{A} : \{ \varphi_1 \land \cdots \land \varphi_n \land \varphi_A \}$

Case studies [Datta, Garg, Jia, Sen, Wing]

Web security

- Reason about properties of (malicious) downloaded code (M), given the specifications of the interfaces that M is confined to



- Interfaces that take code as input (callbacks)
- Interfaces that return code (script in webpages)

Types specify trace properties of interfaces

• {y: τ }<u₁, u₂, i> φ

Computation returns a value of type τ , and if the computation executes between time u_1 and u_2 by thread I, the trace satisfies φ

- $(\mathcal{I}_{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{3}$
- $\mathcal{I}_{I} \to \left(\mathcal{I}_{2} \to \mathcal{I}_{3} \right)$

• The type assigned to M allows reasoning about systems that pass M around as data, and invoke it later

Hypervisor security: (guest OS and hyper-apps) require higher-order reasoning principles)

• Core:

initialization function, interrupt handling, memory virtualization

- Guest OS: (potentially malicious) confined to Hypervisor provided interfaces above
- Hyper-apps: (may not be trusted) register interrupt handlers

confined to a set of interfaces core provides (different from guest)



2012 Science of Security

Community Meeting

Nov. 29-30, 2012 National Harbor, MD http://cps-vo.org/group/sosmtg

