

Discovery of Vulnerabilities in Binary Code

Tim Teitelbaum

GrammaTech, Inc. 317 North Aurora Street Ithaca, NY 14850 Tel. 607-273-7340 E-mail: tt@grammatech.com

Executive Summary

- GrammaTech is developing a static bug and vulnerability finder for binary code (CodeSonar/SWYX)
- An extension of a successful commercial bug finder for source code (CodeSonar/C,C++)
- It will analyze hybrid combinations of source, binaries, and models thereby supporting multiple use cases ranging from development through acceptance testing
- It will be a useful laboratory apparatus for answering scientific questions about source code analysis vs. binary code analysis



Sample Use Cases



	Source Code	Binary Executables
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++	CodeSurfer/x86 CodeSurfer/SWYX, where SWYX is an Instruction Set Architecture
Bug and Vulnerability	CodeSonar/C,C++	CodeSonar/x86
Finding		CodeSonar/SWYX, where SWYX is an Instruction Set Architecture

GRAMMATECH

	Source Code
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++



CodeSurfer/C,C++

 Builds a fine grained Intermediate Representation (IR) of a whole (or partial) program

Program Understanding Tool

GUI for inspecting program wrt that underlying IR and performing late bound analyses, e.g., slicing, chopping, etc.

Implementation Platform

API for custom scripts and the implementation of derivative applications (in C or Scheme)







	Source Code
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++



	Source Code
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++
Bug and Vulnerability Finding	CodeSonar/C,C++



CodeSonar/C,C++

- A source-code analyzer that finds serious flaws in software
 - > Language Misuse
 - > Library Misuse
 - > Enforcement of domain-specific rules
- Sample checks
 - o Buffer Overrun
 - Null-Pointer Dereference
 - o Divide by Zero
 - Uninitialized Variable
 - Free Null Pointer
 - o Unreachable Code
 - Dangerous Cast
 - Missing Return Statement
 - Return Pointer to Local

- Format String Vulnerability
- Free Non-Heap Variable
- Use After Free/Close
- Double Free/Close
- Memory/Resource Leak
- Mismatched Array New/Delete
- Invalid Parameter
- Unchecked Return Code
- Race Condition



CodeSonar/C,C++ (Cont'd)

- Whole or partial program
- Fast and highly scalable
- Low false positive rate
 - > At cost of missing some flaws
- Easy to invoke analysis
 - > No source-code annotations necessary
 - Piggybacks on existing build systems
- Browser-based user interface
 - > Web server and database for managing results
 - Setup can be performed by one user; other users point browsers to server and log in



CodeSonar/C,C++ (Cont'd)

- Search interface for results database
 - > Custom views of results are easy to create
 - > Simple searching, advanced searching, and SQL interpreter
- User-added state information persists across builds
 - Mechanism used to suppress false positives
 - Labels and comments can be attached to a bug warning
- Interprocedural, flow sensitive, context sensitive, path sensitive, object sensitive symbolic execution





gnuchess : gnuchess 5.07 : Warnings per Class

Options: File Edit View

|<< < 1 - 13 of 13 > >>| Goto Show More Show Fewer

Warning Class	Number of Warnings
Uninitialized Variable	17
Unreachable Code	15
Null Pointer Dereference	10
Leak	4
Redundant Condition	3
Double Unlock	3
Buffer Overrun	3
Use After Free	2
Ignored Return Value	2
Unused Value	2
Double Close	1
File System Race Condition	1
Negative file descriptor	1
	<< < 1 - 13 of 13 > >>

J

S



Source Language: C			Show Legend
Problem	Line	Source	
		c:\codesonar-demo\gnuchess-5.07\src\lexpgn.c	
		Enter return_append_str	
	1766	<pre>char *return_append_str(char *dest, const char *s) {</pre>	
	1767	/* Append text s to dest, and return new result. */	
	1768	char *newloc;	
	1769	size_t newlen;	
	1770	<pre>/* This doesn't have buffer overflow vulnerabilities, because</pre>	
	1771	we always allocate for enough space before appending. */	
	1772	if (!dest) {	
true	1773	<pre>newloc = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1;</pre>	
strlen(s) >	1774	<pre>strcpy(newloc, s); /* Buffer Overrun */ /* 2 more */</pre>	
bytes_after(newloc)			
- 1			
 Preconditions 			
 Postconditions 			

D



Source Language: C		2	Show Legend
Problem	Line	Source	
		c:\codesonar-demo\gnuchess-5.07\src\lexpgn.c	
		Enter return_append_str	
	1766	<pre>char *return_append_str(char *dest, const char *s) {</pre>	
	1767	/* Append text s to dest, and return new result. */	
	1768	char *newloc;	
	1769	size_t newlen;	
	1770	<pre>/* This doesn't have buffer overflow vulnerabilities, because</pre>	
	1771	we always allocate for enough space before appending. */	
	1772	if (!dest) {	
rue	1773	<pre>newloc = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1;</pre>	
strlen(s) >	1774	<pre>strcpy(newloc, s); /* Buffer Overrun */ /* 2 more */</pre>	
oytes_after(newloc)			
- 1			
Preconditions			
- Postconditions			

CodeSonar : gnuchess : Buffer Overrun - Mozilla Firefox			
<u> Edit Yew History Bookmarks Tools H</u> elp			
CODESONAR Search this analysis ror		Search Warnings 🛿 🛛 Advanced Search	
Home > gnuchess > gnuchess 5.07 > Warning 1076.2333		Text XML Visible Warnings: active	
gnuchess : gnuchess 5.07 : Buffer Overrun at lexpgn.c:1774 Categories: LANG.MEM.BO CWE:120 CWE:121 CWE:122 CWE:126 CWE:126	Priority:	None	
Warning ID: 1076.2333	State:	None	
Procedure: return_append_str	Finding:	None	
Trace: View	Owner:	None	
Modified: 02/25/09 09:48:00 show details		edit properties	
Source Language: C		Show Legen	<i>i</i> d

eren bet es enne		
1.11.12.13.2.1.1.2	Line Source	
	c:lcodesonar-demolgnuchess-5.07lsrcliexpgn.c	
	Enter return_append_str	
	1766 char *return_append_str(char *dest, const char *s) {	
	1767 /* Append text s to dest, and return new result. */	
	1762 char *newloc;	
	1769 size t newlen;	
	And / Into acon 5 maye butter overlate value aprilotaby because	
noul o o	- (aham +) malles(atmlen(a))(1)	
newloc	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1;</pre>	
newloc	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1;</pre>	
newloc strcpy	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1; v(newloc s) * /* Buffer Overrup */</pre>	/* 2 more *
newloc strcpy	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1; (newloc, s);</pre>	/* 2 more *,
newloc strcpy	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1; (newloc, s);</pre>	/* 2 more *,
newloc strcpy	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1; (newloc, s);</pre>	/* 2 more *
newloc strcpy Preconditions Postconditions	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1; (newloc, s);</pre>	/* 2 more *,
newloc strcpy Preconditions Postconditions	<pre>c = (char *) malloc(strlen(s))+1; (newloc, s);</pre>	/* 2 more *,

Sample Inter-Procedural Warning

File System Race Condition (TOC/TOU)





CodeSonar C,C++ Effectiveness

- FDA used CodeSonar to examine 200 KLOC C program for medical device experiencing problems in the field
- Results
 - > 127 serious problems detected
 - 29 unsafe casts
 - 28 null pointer dereferences
 - 36 uninitialized variables
 - 20 unreachable code fragments
 - 14 others
 - > 82 of 127 had been found by manufacturer using manual inspection
 - > 45 of 127 were not previously known
- See April 2008 Embedded Systems Design Magazine for details









Solver

- Similar to other light-weight bug-finding products
- Visits each procedure once in bottom-up pass of call graph
- At each procedure
 - Breadth-first exploration of intra-procedural paths using summaries (previously computed) for functions called
 - Create a (truncated) summary of procedure's side-effects and error conditions
- Logic uses affine relations of two variables
- Analysis uses many heuristics:
 - > Unsound
 - Highly scalable
 - Reasonable false positive rate



	Source Code
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++
Bug and Vulnerability Finding	CodeSonar/C,C++



	Source Code	Binary Executables
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++	CodeSurfer/x86 CodeSurfer/SWYX, where SWYX is an Instruction Set Architecture
Bug and Vulnerability Finding	CodeSonar/C,C++	







Instruction Set Architecture (ISA) Independence

- TSL / ISAL
 - Recursive Types for defining <u>AST representations</u> of instructions
 - Grammar for specifying <u>bit-level instruction layout</u>
 - Parser + Syntax Directed Translation for instruction decode
 - > Language for defining the <u>operational semantics</u> of instructions
 - Framework for defining <u>static analyses</u> about machine code in an ISAindependent manner
 - > System for generating static-analysis implementations
 - Pairing of an ISA and an analysis is automatic
- Benefits
 - > Independence of semantics and analyses
 - Validation of each ISA semantics is separate from static analyses
 - Validation of each static analysis is separate from ISA definitions
 - Consistency. All analyses for given ISA driven off of same definition
 - > Completeness. Full analysis generated for <u>all</u> instructions.



	Source Code	Binary Executables
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++	CodeSurfer/x86 CodeSurfer/SWYX, where SWYX is an Instruction Set Architecture
Bug and Vulnerability Finding	CodeSonar/C,C++	



	Source Code	Binary Executables
Understanding, Reverse- Engineering, Rewriting, and Infrastructure	CodeSurfer/C,C++	CodeSurfer/x86 CodeSurfer/SWYX, where SWYX is an Instruction Set Architecture
Bug and Vulnerability Finding	CodeSonar/C,C++	CodeSonar/x86 CodeSonar/SWYX, where SWYX is an Instruction Set Architecture



Why CodeSonar for Binaries?

- Source code often unavailable, e.g., libraries, COTS
- Even when when available, often infeasible to configure to match release of interest
- Fidelity: may actually be better than source code analysis
 - > WYSINWYX: What You See Is Not What You eXecute

```
memset(password, '\0', len);
free(password);
```

- > Binaries reveal platform-specific choices of compiler
- > Binary analysis can use real libraries, not hand-written models
- Convenience
- Supports post-development business model
- Works for applications written in any compiled language(s)
- > But needs approach to variations in Instruction Set Architectures





gnuchess.exe : gnuchess.exe analysis 3 : Warnings per Class

Options: File Edit View

	Goto Show More Show Fewer
Warning Class	Number of Warnings
Unreachable Code	32
Leak	10
Null Pointer Dereference	10
Useless Assignment	6
Redundant Condition	5
Use After Free	2
Cast Alters Value	1
Negative file descriptor	1
Buffer Overrun	1
Double Close	1



<

1 - 10 of 10 > >>| Show More Show Fewer

S

>>

|<< < 1 - 10 of 10 >

Details

Searched all warnings in gnuchess.exe analysis 3

Done



S)

Ele Edit Yiew History Bookmarks Jools Help





Language-Independent Parameter Passing

	Caller		Callee		
	Code	Modeled as	Code	Modeled as	
С	z = F(x, y);	<pre>\$param1 = x; \$param2 = y; F(); z = F\$return;</pre>	int F(int arg1, int arg2) {; return e; }	<pre>void F() { int arg1 = \$param1; int arg2 = \$param2; ; F\$return = e; }</pre>	
x86	push y push x call F add esp, 8 mov z, eax	push y push x mov \$param2,[esp+4] mov \$param1,[esp+0] call F mov eax,F\$return add esp, 8 mov z, eax	F: <prologue> ret</prologue>	F: <prologue> mov [esp+8], \$param2 mov [esp+4], \$param1 mov F\$return, eax ret</prologue>	



Preliminary Results and Expectations

- Starting to apply to realistic open-source C applications
- Typical size of samples
 - > Source: 51 C files; ~23.5K (non-blank) lines of code
 - > Binary: ~1MB executable; 295K text section (code); ~105K instructs.
- Typical analysis time: 1 coffee break
- Binary analysis time: 2x-3x source analysis time
- Very preliminary results
 - Significant overlap on some bug classes
 - E.g., API misuse; null-pointer dereferences; heap and alloca overruns
 - > Significant potential overlap on other bug classes; just a SMOP
 - E.g., file race conditions (require additional string/global handling)
 - > Others, feasibility TBD in absence of type information
 - E.g., stack-based buffer overruns; heuristics need for buffer size



Summary

- CodeSonar will support light-weight bug finding in both source and binary code.
- Common logic, solver, and policy library.
- Expect to answer (in detail) questions such as
 - What are the relative strengths and weaknesses of source code analysis and binary code analysis?
 - > Can end users find bugs in applications as effectively as developers?





		1

(9) GRAMMATECH