



Figure 1: Research Steps

systems is being managed by Puppet for last two years and hence, this scenario is ideal for evaluating the impact of CMT from the aspect of overall security in the system. We will perform the following analysis as shown in Figure 1 to answer the aforementioned research questions.

- Step One: Mining Source Repository:** We will gather source code, commit logs, and other source control activities such as pull requests, merge conflicts, feature branches from the Github repository of NC State Endpoint Linux Management Systems.
- Step Two: Identify Security Smells:** We will apply static analysis techniques to detect the presence of security smells in the Puppet scripts. Security Smells are the occurrence of insecure coding patterns in the sources which introduce security weakness into the software and might lead to software breaches [9]. Most prominent security smells that can be found in Puppet scripts are hardcoded secrets, use of HTTP without TLS, suspicious comments, admin by default etc [1, 8].
- Step 3: Identify Security Best Practices Implementation:** We will also apply static analysis techniques to identify whether the best security practices have been thoroughly followed. Puppet, like other CMT, can be complicated at times. Hence developers should follow good, recommendable and high-level best practices such as use of inventory, private modules, dry runs before deployments [?], otherwise scripts will become difficult to extend and maintain, consequently the system will be more prone to misconfiguration which will lead to less secured system.
- Step 4: Survey Developers Agreement:** After the identification of security smells and security best practices, we

will conduct a survey with the developers of the scripts. We will study whether developers agree with the identified instances of security smells and good practices or whether the particular instance is a false alarm or whether the instance is contextually irrelevant.

- Step 5: Analyze Repository Activities:** We will analyze the repository activities to study the behaviour of developer activities in response to the event of a compromise. We will analyze the reported bugs, issues, patches, pull requests, and commit logs to understand the development activities and nature of fixing the issues regarding the compromises in hosts. We will also look for any testing activities that has been performed to evaluate the effectiveness of fixes.
- Step 6: Analyze Host Compromise Data:** We will gather the data of host compromises and whether they have been caused by misconfiguration or misuse in Puppet scripts or security smells or vulnerable modules from Puppet or operating systems or other applications.

3 CONCLUSION

CMT have made developers more productive and efficient in managing and provisioning the computing nodes and installed applications. However, these tools might make the systems susceptible to software weakness through misconfiguration or vulnerable modules. We propose a research plan to empirically investigate the impact of the usage of CMTs on systems and application in terms of security of the systems. For the case study, we have chosen Endpoint Linux Management system for NC State University. From the preliminary findings of our work, we found out a hundred of security smell occurrences which could pose a threat to the overall security and rough edges of their tools.

REFERENCES

- [1] [n.d.]. CWE: Common Security Weakness. <https://cwe.mitre.org/>. [accessed:1/6/19].
- [2] Ars Technica. [n.d.]. Hackers steal secret crypto keys for NordVPN. Here's what we know so far. <https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2019/10/hackers-steal-secret-crypto-keys-for-nordvpn-heres-what-we-know-so-far/>. [accessed:1/24/20].
- [3] Chef. [n.d.]. Chef. <https://www.chef.io/>. [accessed:1/24/20].
- [4] FLEX ERA Blog. [n.d.]. Cloud Computing Trends: 2016 State of the Cloud Survey. <https://www.flexera.com/blog/cloud/2016/02/cloud-computing-trends-2016-state-of-the-cloud-survey/>. [accessed:1/24/20].
- [5] NC State University. [n.d.]. [github.ncstate-linux/infrastructure](https://github.ncsu.edu/ncstate-linux/infrastructure). <https://github.ncsu.edu/ncstate-linux/infrastructure>. [accessed:1/24/20].
- [6] Puppet. [n.d.]. Maximizing IT Security with Configuration Management. <https://puppet.com/resources/whitepaper/maximizing-it-security-configuration-management/>. [accessed:1/24/20].
- [7] Puppet Labs. [n.d.]. Puppet. <https://puppet.com/>. [accessed:1/24/20].
- [8] Akond Rahman, Chris Parmin, and Laurie Williams. 2019. The seven sins: Security smells in infrastructure as code scripts. In *2019 IEEE/ACM 41st International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*. IEEE, 164–175.
- [9] Rayhanur Rahman, Akond Rahman, and Laurie Williams. 2019. Share but Beware: Security Smells in Python Gists. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software, Maintenance and Evolution (Ohio, USA) (ICSM '19)*.
- [10] Redhat. [n.d.]. Ansible. <https://www.ansible.com/>. [accessed:1/24/20].