# It's QEDs All the Way Down

David S. Hardin Konrad L. Slind

Trusted Systems Group Advanced Technology Center Rockwell Collins





### Introduction

- Traditional Formal Verification efforts have suffered from a fundamental issue: verification artifacts are only models of the system that is to be verified
  - Many translators from engineering artifacts have been built; few are themselves verified
- Further, there has been no verified path from an executable specification expressed in a theorem proving environment to machine code
  - Many systems offer code generation, but not verified code generation
- But what if such a verified path existed, from engineering artifact to formal reasoning to code generation, allowing "QEDs all the way down"?
- This talk reports on our experiences with such an environment



# **Verification Tool Design Pattern**



- IDE = Interactive Development Environment
- AST = Abstract Syntax Tree
- IVL = Intermediate Verification Language



### CakeML — https://cakeml.org

- CakeML is a functional programming language with a proven-correct compiler and runtime system
- CakeML is based on a substantial subset of Standard ML
- Its semantics is specified in higher-order logic
- The compiler algorithm, also specified in higher-order logic, has been proven to transform CakeML programs to semantically equivalent machine code
- The compiler implementation is a CakeML program that has been verified by a bootstrap method that executes the compiler specification on the compiler implementation to create a proven-correct compiler binary
- The correctness proofs use validated instruction set models



# CakeML Use Case 1: Verified ML Compile, REPL





### **CakeML Use Case 1**

- CakeML is utilized much as any other language compiler
- Code generation is not yet on par with production compilers, but improving
- Read/Eval/Print Loop utilized for execution and validation testing
- Verified runtime support, specifically for bignums and Garbage Collection
- x86, ARM, PowerPC instruction sets supported



### CakeML Use Case 2: Verified HOL Compile, REPL





# From Logic to ML to Machine Code by Proof

Given : ML bigstep evaluation relation **evaluate** Define: "Evaluating *exp* in environment *env* yields a value v with property P" :

**Eval** env exp  $P = \exists v.$  evaluate ... env ... exp  $(...v) \land P(v)$ 

*P* is used to relate *values* resulting from ML computation with the corresponding logical objects (which stem from the original logic definitions)



# **Example Translation Theorem**

Theorem about function application

$$\vdash \mathbf{Eval} \ env \ e_1 \ ((a \longrightarrow b) \ f) \land \mathbf{Eval} \ env \ e_2 \ (a \ x)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow$$
  
$$\mathbf{Eval} \ env \ (e_1 \ e_2) \ (b \ (f \ x))$$

"If  $e_1$  evaluates to a value denoted by function f, having logical type  $a \rightarrow b$ ; and  $e_2$  evaluates to an element x having logical type a, then  $(e_1 \ e_2)$  evaluates to a value corresponding to f x, having logical type b."

Such theorems are proved for all the AST constructors, and used in a bottom-up, syntax-directed manner for new function definitions



# Examples of Function $\Rightarrow$ ML Translation

In the CakeML distribution:

- (Okasaki) Queues (Bankers, Batched, Hood-Melville, Implicit, Physicists, Real-time)
- (Okasaki) Heaps (Binomial, Leftist, Pairing, Splay)
- Ordered Sets (Red-Black, Unbalanced)
- Crypto algorithms (AES, RC6, TEA)
- Others (primality tester, copying garbage collector, parser generator)
- CakeML compiler itself

Our work: regex compiler (90 definitions, 650 lines of ML text)



# **Example: Splay Heaps**

datatype  $\alpha$  heap = Empty | Tree ( $\alpha$ heap)  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$ heap)

- Splay trees are a close relative of balanced binary search trees, but they maintain no explicit balance information.
- Instead, every operation blindly restructures the tree using some simple transformations that tend to increase balance.
- Every operation runs in O(log n) amortized time.
- Well suited for implementing heaps.
- Operations: insert, merge, findMin, delMin



# Some Splay Heap Theorems (Proved in HOL4)

$$\begin{split} & \vdash \llbracket \text{insert } x \ H \rrbracket = \{x\} \oplus \llbracket H \rrbracket \\ & \vdash \llbracket \text{merge } H_1 \ H_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket H_1 \rrbracket \oplus \llbracket H_2 \rrbracket \\ & \vdash \llbracket \text{delMin } H \rrbracket = \llbracket H \rrbracket \setminus \{\text{findMin } H\} \\ & \vdash \text{ isHeap } H_1 \land \text{isHeap } H_2 \Rightarrow \text{isHeap } (\text{merge } H_1 \ H_2) \\ & \vdash H \neq \text{Empty } \land \text{isHeap } H \Rightarrow \text{isHeap } (\text{delMin } H) \\ & \vdash H \neq \text{Empty } \land \text{isHeap } H \\ & \Rightarrow \text{findMin } H \in \llbracket H \rrbracket \land \forall y. y \in \llbracket H \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{findMin } H \leq y \end{split}$$

- [[−]]: map to multiset;
- $\oplus$  : multiset union;
- $\setminus$  : multiset difference



# Example Translation : delMin

Removes smallest element from the heap, possibly doing some rebalancing. The smallest element is leftmost in the tree. Expressed in stylized HOL as follows:

delMin (Tree Empty x b) = bdelMin (Tree (Tree Empty x b) y c) = Tree b y cdelMin (Tree (Tree a x b) y c) = Tree (delMin a) x (Tree b y c)

Translation theorem:

# $\vdash \text{Eval env} (\text{Var delMin}) \\ (((\text{SPLAYHEAP } a) \times \longrightarrow \text{SPLAYHEAP } a) \text{ delMin})$

Constraint: *x* is a splayheap on which **delMin** is defined (patterns are not complete)



### Generated ML for delMin

```
fun delMin x =
case x
 of Empty => raise Bind
   | Tree(v9,v8,v7) =>
     case v9
       of Empty => v7
        | Tree(v6,v5,v4) =>
            case v6
              of Empty => Tree(v4,v8,v7)
               | Tree(v3,v2,v1) =>
                   Tree(delMin (Tree(v3,v2,v1)),
                         v5,
                         Tree(v4,v8,v7));
```



# Application to Imperative Languages: Guardol

Guardol is a Domain-Specific Language for cross-domain guards:

- Provides a single language to program many different guards
- Integrates highly automated formal verification with development
- Supports high-assurance code generation
- Guardol is a traditional imperative language, but with ML-style pattern matching
- Regular Expression matching supported via the regex\_match primitive; verified compilation of regex\_match to DFAs via Brzozowski's derivative method accomplished in HOL4



### Sound Code Generation for Guardol

- Decompilation maps from Guardol operational semantics to HOL datatypes and functions (By formal proof)
- Translation maps from HOL datatypes and functions to ML datatypes and functions (By formal proof)
- By transitivity we obtain a verified map from Guardol to CakeML, and so to binary (By formal correctness proof of CakeML compiler (POPL 2014))
- By the proofs, we have that the behavior of the binary in the CakeML REPL has the properties proved about the Guardol source program



### **Guardol Sound Code Generation Toolchain**





# Results

- We have utilized verified regex DFA compilation to produce high-assurance, high-performance hardware-based regular expression guards
  - Able to guard UDP packets at Gigabit Ethernet line speed rate
- We generated verified x86 binaries for regular expression guards using CakeML, and validated the x86 code using test cases executed in the CakeML REPL
- We have instantiated the Verification Tool Design Pattern for other imperative languages, namely sizable subsets of the Swift and Rust languages



# **Current Work: Regex Extensions I**

 To support numeric intervals we added the following "interval" form to the regex parser:

\i{lo,hi}

allowing much more precise time specs, e.g.:

\i{1,31}\i{1,12}\i{1970,2025}\i{0,23}\i{0,59}\i{0,59}

- Intervals can utilize a variety of number representations: e.g., 255 can take either 3 bytes (ASCII) or 2 bytes (twos-complement integer) or 1 (unsigned)
- Capturing intervals with regexs is by no means original (J.R. Büchi wouldn't have been surprised by this in 1960)
- Doesn't seem commonly supported in regex packages, which require monstrous regexs to match even simple intervals



# Current Work: Regex Extensions II

- We want to check the generated monstrous regexs via proof
- The above regex (call it r) generates the proof obligation

```
\begin{array}{l} \forall s. \ \textbf{regex\_match}(r,s) \iff \\ \exists w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6. \\ s = w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6 \land \\ 1 \leq \mathbb{N}(w_1) \leq 31 \land \\ 1 \leq \mathbb{N}(w_2) \leq 12 \land \\ 1970 \leq \mathbb{N}(w_3) \leq 2025 \land \\ 0 \leq \mathbb{N}(w_4) \leq 23 \land \\ 0 \leq \mathbb{N}(w_5) \leq 59 \land \\ 0 < \mathbb{N}(w_6) < 59 \end{array}
```

Which should be automatically proved (work in progress)



# **Current Work: Regex Extensions III**

- This translation-validation style approach extends the assurance story of the regex compiler to the extended language including intervals
- Currently applying to generate a software guard for GPS messages over CANBUS
- Other applications: filtering UTF-8 encoded strings, scenarios requiring "full packet inspection" of messages involving numbers



### **Future Work**

- Explore use of refinement in the theorem prover, similar to Eric Smith's work, to produce higher-performance verified binaries from specifications in logic
- Explore use of idioms such as ACL2's single-threaded object (stobj) syntactic restrictions to allow in-place updates
- Continue to work with CakeML team to improve the compiler, and support other mainstream languages

#### THE END