

# Programmatica Summary

James Hook

OGI School of Science & Engineering  
Oregon Health & Science University

# Programatica Team

- ◆ James Hook
- ◆ Mark Jones
- ◆ Richard Kieburtz
- ◆ Tim Sheard
- ◆ John Launchbury
- ◆ Peter White
- ◆ Bill Harrison
- ◆ Sylvain Conchon
- ◆ Thomas Hallgren
- ◆ Mark Tullsen
- ◆ Iavor Diatchki
- ◆ Nathan Linger

# PacSoft

- ◆ Focus on Domain-specific language technology
  - DSLs for Hardware design (Hawk)
  - Spin-off Galois Connections continues to apply DSLs in high-assurance domains
- ◆ Programatica leverages Haskell enriched by properties for modeling and implementing software “as if correctness matters”

# Programmatica Vision

- ◆ Concise, Executable (Formal) Models and Systems expressed in Haskell
- ◆ Systematic identification of domain-relevant properties
- ◆ Integration of evidence for properties from external tools (some new, some existing) including testimonial (“Mark says so”), test, model checking and theorem provers
- ◆ Query and Navigation of evidence

# Status: Modeling

- ◆ Modeling a non-trivial secure system
  - Developed a model of Spook: a POSIX compliant operating system supporting strict separation
  - White has coded over 12k lines of Haskell
  - Separation property can be expressed in Programatica
  - Spook architecture isolates “tricky bits” so that separation in 90% of the model is established via Haskell type checking
  - White presentation following lunch

# Status: Semantics

- ◆ Folklore:
  - Haskell has a straightforward semantics; all of the hard parts have been addressed in published papers
- ◆ Reality:
  - While the hard parts were well studied, the integration was not
  - Particularly critical is the characterization of the fine control of evaluation (laziness)
- ◆ Consequence:
  - We will deliver a formal definition of Haskell  
(See supplementary materials: Harrison; to appear MPC02)

# Status: Logic

- ◆ Haskell is a powerful modeling language
- ◆ Pun between inductive lists and coinductive streams is powerful, natural
- ◆ Expressive power is sufficient to encode concurrency (among other things)
- ◆ Modeling idioms in hardware and security exploit this power
- ◆ Support for these idioms has led to a modal  $\mu$  calculus for Haskell (Kieburtz, talk to follow, supplemental materials)

# Status: Tools

- ◆ Extensible tools for parsing, type checking, and navigating Haskell
- ◆ First implementation of recursive modules fully compliant with report
- ◆ Prototype integration with Alfa proof editor
- ◆ Free theorem generator (theorems from types via parametricity)
- ◆ Prototype P-logic proof engine in Stratego



# Poirot:

## The evidence manager

- ◆ An Herculean task
- ◆ Evidence manager integrates certificates from various sources (heterogeneous, auditable evidence)
- ◆ Supports queries on the heterogeneous evidence base (traceability)
- ◆ Core tool for achieving the “programmatica vision” of software development
- ◆ Evidence manager gives “the knob”
- ◆ Status: Early stand-alone prototype; not yet integrated with P-logic or other tools

# Next Steps

- ◆ Continue Spook development: focus on having non-trivial interprocess communication
- ◆ Complete Haskell definition
- ◆ Improve support of P-logic
- ◆ Integrate P-logic with Haskell front-end
- ◆ Integrate P-logic into Poirot

# Long Term

- ◆ Programming as if properties matter
  - Developing the properties is part of developing the code
- ◆ Spook
  - Properties of interest are domain-relevant, high-level, global properties
- ◆ Poirot
  - Integrating and evaluating evolving, heterogeneous evidence
- ◆ Poirot for other languages
  - <http://www.cse.ogi.edu/PacSoft/conf/jvw02/>
- ◆ Tools