Simplifying Software-Defined Network Optimization Using SOL

Victor Heorhiadi UNC Chapel Hill

Michael K. Reiter

UNC Chapel Hill

Vyas Sekar

Carnegie Mellon University



SDN Application Classes and Features

	Traffic engineering	Service chaining	Topology reconfiguration	
Classes	SWAN (2013) B4 (2013)	SIMPLE (2013) Panopticon (2014)	ElasticTree (2010) Response (2011)	
	Offloading	Dynamic service chaining	Network function virtualization	
	APLOMB (2012) SNIPS (2014)	Bohatei (2015) FlowTags (2013)	E2 (2015) Slick (2015)	
SS	Composition	Fault tolerance		
Feature	Corybantic (2013) FlowVisor (2009)	FatTire (2013)		

Current Process





Challenge: Generality + Efficiency

Approach	Generality	Efficiency
Frameworks	\checkmark	X
Custom solutions	X	\checkmark
SOL	\checkmark	\checkmark

SOL: SDN Optimization Layer



Insight: Path Abstraction

- Problems are *recast* to be **path-based**
- Policies are path predicates

Path-based Recasting: MaxFlow

Edge-based

f: amount of flow



 $f_{e1} = f_{e3} + f_{e4}$



Policies as Path Predicates



Valid paths:
N1-N4-N5
N1-N3-N4-N5
Invalid paths:
N1-N3-N5

Path Challenge



SOL Process



Implementation

- Python library; interfaces with CPLEX solver and ONOS controller
- Prototyped applications
 - MaxFlow, Traffic engineering, latency minimization
 - ElasticTree (Heller et al.), Panopticon (Levin et al.), SIMPLE (Qazi et al.)

Example: MaxFlow



Example: Traffic Engineering

- 1. opt, pptc = initOptimization(topo, trafficClasses, nullPredicate, 'shortest', 5)
- 2. opt.allocateFlow(pptc)
- 3. linkcapfunc = **lambda** link, tc, path, resource: tc.volBytes
- 4. opt.capLinks(pptc, 'bandwidth', linkConstrCaps, linkcapfunc)
- 5. opt.routeAll(pptc)
- 6. opt.minLinkLoad('bandwidth')
- 7. opt.solve()

Route all traffic Minimize bandwidth load



- Does it reduce development effort for more complex applications?
- Is it faster than the original optimization?
- Is it any worse than optimal?

Development Effort

Application	SOL lines of code	Estimated improvement
ElasticTree (Heller et al.)	16	$21.8 \times$
Panoption (Levin et al.)	13	$25.7 \times$
SIMPLE (Qazi et al.)	21	$18.6 \times$



Topology (number of switches)

Runtime as Function of Number of Paths

50

Number of paths (per class)

Mininet Tests

Time to deploy



"Mindiff" Across Optimizations



• Minimize network churn

- Minimize reconfiguration time
- Application agnostic

Results: Reconfiguration

Traffic engineering application; Change in traffic demands triggers re-computation



Summary



- Getting SDN benefits requires a lot of optimization knowledge
- SOL lowers barrier of entry for developers
- Leverages the path abstraction: generation + selection
- Efficient: deploy in seconds!

• Code available at https://github.com/progwriter/SOL



Questions?