Large scale separation through monads: **The Oregon Separation Kernel** (previously Pauli separation kernel) Peter White OGI School of Science and Engineering **Oregon Health & Science University** muritza@attbi.com

Overview

- Process separation built from kernel thread separation
 - Kernel threads are under control of the kernel designer, process code is not
- Kernel thread separation built mostly from types, and a little bit from theorem proving
 - Kernel thread must be a rich enough structure to support programming the API calls



Process separation built from kernel thread separation

- Each user process (user half, or u/2) is an abstraction created by its corresponding kernel thread, called the system half (s/2)
- The kernel core is the initial thread, controlling the creation of other threads in the system



Outline

- Construction of the kernel thread
 - Rich enough structure in which to implement the API
 - Function calls
 - Mutable state
 - Exceptions
 - Interleaved execution
- Construction of the braid
 - Multi threading environment
 - Kernel (braid) calls
 - Separation property between properly constructed threads

Outline, step 1

- Construction of the kernel thread
 - Rich enough structure in which to implement the <u>API</u>
 - Function calls
 - Mutable state
 - Exceptions
 - Interleaved execution
- Construction of the braid
 - Multi threading environment
 - Kernel calls
 - Separation property between properly constructed threads

Osker Criteria for a thread structure

- Separation: How much of thread separation is captured in the types?
 - Process code: Can the process cause separation to fail without the assistance of the kernel calls?
 - Kernel code: Does privileged kernel code violate the separation property?
- Adequacy: How easy is it to program API calls in the structure?
- Swept under the rug: How much of thread separation depends on advanced features of the run time system?
- Speed: How many thread schedules can be performed in one second?
- Features: Do we have mutable state, exceptions, interleaving, and kernel calls?

Structure	Separation / process	Separation / kernel	Sufficiency	Swept Under Rug	Performance	Mutable State	Exceptions	Interleaving	Kernel Calls / Exec

Three sample threads



Local and non local processing



- Goals:
 - Make local processing provably local via types
 - Establish (mostly via types) that the only non-local process is via the thread primitives (check, create, send, ...) provided
 - Establish (mostly via types) that the thread primitives are used in accordance with policy

Dividing a thread into steps



As a sequence of steps As a sequence of functions of state

 Goal: to be able to interleave the steps of one thread with the steps of other threads

Putting the steps back together



As a sequence of steps As a sequence of functions of state

 Goal: to be able to interleave the steps of one thread with the steps of other threads

State monad hides the state parameter



```
thread A :: Name->x-> thread ()
thread A u_1 x_1 =
do { b_1 <- check "A-> B"
; d_1 <- open "A->B" flags
; send d_1 (f x_1 n_1)
; close d_1
}
```

```
thread A :: s \rightarrow Name \rightarrow x \rightarrow (s, ())
thread A s_0 n_1 x_1 =
let (s_1, b_1) = check n_1 s_0
(s_2, d_1) = open n_1 flags s_1
(s_3, ()) = send d_1 (f x_1 n_1) s_2
(s_4, ()) = close d_1 s_3
in (s_4, ())
```

General form of the state monad Form $\lambda s \rightarrow (s, a)$ State Monad = $S(\lambda s \rightarrow (s, a))$

Thread State monad in pictures



The local state of the thread evolves with each bind / compose Separation depends more on the bind combinator than on the operations bound

Thread monad summary

- Thread monad has type
 - data Thread s a = Thread (s -> (s, a))
 - bind: Plumbs state from one operation into the next operation.
- Thread monad captures mutable state
- Functions in a thread are safe
 - State change in one thread does not affect another thread, without help from an executive
- Thread monad does not offer interleaving or exceptions
 - Each thread is run to completion
- No executive or kernel calls have been specified for threads
- Separation within a thread is good, but the executive could plumb the state of one thread through another thread

Locess Locess Locess

Braiding the threads

Description of Braid 0 type

- data Braid s a = Braid (s -> (s, a))
- The state (s) is specialized to the state depicted on the right
- bind: Selects thread to run, runs it, updates relevant state information
- Each thread has its own mutable state
- Braid 0 monad does not offer interleaving or exceptions
 - Each thread is run to completion
- Can implement the kernel calls (openQ, sendQ, ...)
 - The executive is the braid itself
- Separation
 - Process: Local processing separated
 - Kernel calls: No separation property offered
 - Program of type Braid s a = Braid(s -> (s, a)) can make arbitrary updates to the state s.

local	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
program	prog(1,1)	prog(2,1)	prog(3,1)
local state	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	Is(1,1)	Is(2,1)	Is(3,1)
queues	A->B [y]	B->C [w]	
threadstate	tid₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	running	ready	ready
current	tid ₁		

Structure	Separation / process	Separation / kernel	Adequacy	Swept Under Rug	Performance	Mutable State	: Exceptions	: Interleaving	: Kernel Calls / Exec
Thread monad	3	1	1	5	5	Ŷ	N	N	N
Thread Braid 0 / unlifted	3	1	2	5	5	Y	Ν	Ν	Y

State evolution in the braid 0 monad

run tid₁

sendQ d₁ y

local program	tid ₁ prog(1,1)	tid ₂ prog(2,1)	tid ₃ prog(3,1)	local program	tid ₁ prog(1,1)	tid ₂ prog(2,1)	tid ₃ prog(3,1)
local state	tid ₁ Is(1,1)	tid ₂ Is(2,1)	tid ₃ Is(3,1)	local state	tid ₁ Is(1,1)	tid ₂ Is(2,1)	tid ₃ Is(3,1)
queues	A->B [y]	B->C [w]		queues	А->В [У]	B->C [w]	
threadstate	tid₁ running	tid ₂ ready	tid ₃ ready	threadstate	tid₁ running	tid ₂ ready	tid ₃ ready
current	tid ₁			current	tid ₁		

Local program has type Braid s ()
 Braid is a recursive data type

Lifting into the braid 0 monad



Lifting an isolated thread into the braid results in a computation that runs as if it were isolated within the context of the braid.

Transitive interference

{-P: {-< Braid internal separation through lifting property >-}
-- The separation through lifting property, for transitive null policy
assert SeparateLiftTransitive =

- All sched :: P.Schedule.
- All filt :: ThreadFilter.
- All bst :: BraidSt.
- All tinits :: [TH.ThreadInit (Thread ())].
 - { filterObservationsTransitive

```
sched filt (observations sched (lifts bst tinits))
```

} ===

{ observations (filterScheduleTransitive sched filt)

```
(lifts bst tinits) }
```



Intransitive interference

{-P: {-< The intransitive separation through lifting property.>-}
assert SeparateLiftIntransitive =

- All sched :: P.Schedule.
- All policy :: P.Policy.
- All target :: TID.ThreadId.
- All bst :: BraidSt.

```
All tinits :: [TH.ThreadInit (Thread ())].
```

```
{ filterObservationsIntransitive
```

```
policy target (observations sched (lifts bst tinits))
```

```
} ====
```

}

crypto⁴

black

3

Interference cause

assert InterferenceCause =

All bst :	:: BraidSt.
All tid1 :	:: TID.ThreadId.
All th1 :	:: TH.ThreadInit SingleThread.
All tid2 :	:: TID.ThreadId.
All th2 :	:: TH.ThreadInit SingleThread.
Interference	≥1 tid1 th1 tid2 th2 ==>
(-/ ({ run]	<pre>Fid tid1 bst >> getTidState tid2 } ===</pre>
{ get]	<pre>FidState tid2 }</pre>
)	
)	

•If there is interference, it is caused by another thread (tid1) affecting those components of the state that are relevant to the execution of tid2

-Contents of the queues

- -tid1 local state (ruled out for lifted threads)
- -tid1 program (ruled out for lifted threads)
- -currently running tid (ruled out for lifted threads)
- -tid1 thread state (ruled our for lifted threads)

local	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
program	prog(1,1)	prog(2,1)	prog(3,1)
local state	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	Is(1,1)	Is(2,1)	Is(3,1)
queues	A->B [y]	B->C [w]	
threadstate	tid₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	running	ready	ready
current	tid ₁		

Braid 0 summary

- Lifting of threads yields separation
 - Lifted threads enjoy separation property by virtue of:
 - Their types (mostly)
 - Correctness of the lift operation (a little theorem proving)

Structure	Separation / process	Separation / kernel	Adequacy	Swept Under Rug	Performance	Mutable State	Exceptions	Interleaving	Kernel Calls / Exec	
Thread monad	3	1	1	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	
Thread Braid 0 / unlifted	3	1	2	5	5	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	
local / lifted	3	3	2	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	

Braid 1 (exceptions) summary

- Description of Braid 1 type
 - data Braid s a = Braid (s -> (s, E a))
 - bind:
 - Plumbs state from one operation into the next
 - Propagates exceptions through the bind
- Functions executed within a thread are safe
- Still no interleaving
 - Each thread is run to completion
- Kernel calls
 - Can add intra thread (throw) and inter thread (throwTo) exceptions
 - Kernel calls still assured by analysis
 - · Analysis assisted by type safety
- Braid state
 - Add an exception handler program per thread

Structure	Separation / process	Separation / kernel	Adequacy	Swept Under Rug	Performance	Mutable State	Exceptions	Interleaving	Kernel Calls / Exec
Thread monad	3	1	1	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν
Thread Braid 0 / unlifted	3	1	2	5	5	Y	N	N	Υ
local / lifted	3	3	2	5	5	Y	N	N	Υ
Braid 1	5	3	3	5	5	Y	Y	Ν	Υ

State evolution in braid 1

- Exception catcher is associated with each thread id
 - Catcher and program have type Braid1 s ()
 - Braid1 is a recursive type
 - The catcher is changed by the `catch` kernel call

local	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
catcher	catch(1,1)	catch(2,1)	catch(3,1)
local	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
program	prog(1,1)	prog(2,1)	prog(3,1)
local state	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	Is(1,1)	Is(2,1)	Is(3,1)
queues	A->B [y]	B->C [w]	
threadstate	tid₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	running	ready	ready
current	tid ₁		

Separation in braid 1

• The separation properties in braid 1 are identical to those in braid 0

Braid 2 (interleaving)

- Description of braid 2 type
 - data RSEVal s a
 - = Continue s (E a)
 - | Pause s (RSE s a)
 - Data RSE s a = RSE (s -> RSEVal s a)
 - bind:
 - Plumbs state from one operation into the next
 - Propagates exceptions through the bind
 - Permits continuation of the computation or a pause in the computation
- Functions executed within a thread are safe
- Braid
 - Braid2 is specialized to the internal state shown
 - Each catcher and thread program have type Braid2 ()
 - Braid2 is a recursive type

local	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
catcher	catch(1,1)	catch(2,1)	catch(3,1)
local	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
program	prog(1,1)	prog(2,1)	prog(3,1)
local state	tid ₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	Is(1,1)	Is(2,1)	Is(3,1)
queues	A->B [y]	B->C [w]	
threadstate	tid₁	tid ₂	tid ₃
	running	ready	ready
current	tid ₁		

Braid2 (interleaving) summary

- Braid 2 is an adequate environment to program POSIX calls
- About 140000 thread switches per second
 - Little effort has been put into optimization
- We still have the correctness of the kernel calls to worry about
- Braid 2 is purely functional, no reliance on the Haskell IO threads
 - "Under the rug"
 - Functional evaluation via thunks
 - Garbage collection

Structure	Separation / process	Separation / kernel	Adequacy	Swept Under Rug	Performance	Mutable State	Exceptions	Interleaving	Kernel Calls / Exec
Thread monad	3	1	1	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν
Thread Braid 0 / unlifted	3	1	2	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ
local / lifted	3	3	2	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ
Braid 1	5	3	3	5	5	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ
Braid 2	5	3	5	5	5	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Separation in braid 2

• The separation properties in braid 2 are identical to those in braid 0

The end game: Process separation

- Each domain is a braid
 - Supporting process separation
- Domains get their own "separation through lifting" property
- Within the braid, processes are separated via their underlying kernel threads
 - Kernel thread performs local services for a user process
 - The user program can be interpreted, simulating running the program on the hardware
 - Interpreter is under control of the system half, and can be interrupted at any time
 - Multi threading is cooperative, but only at the level of kernel threads



Taming kernel calls

- Kernel calls are already quite tame
- Underlying functionality in RSE monad is 149 LOC
- Braiding the threads requires an additional 664 LOC
- Braid has a small list of data abstractions and methods upon which the separation properties depend



readMVar	newEmptyMVar	MVar
yield	newMVar	Exception
fork	deleteMVar	ThreadId
killThread	takeMVar	Thread
threadDelay	putMVar	
myThreadId	modifyMVar	
throw	withMVar	
throwTo	swapMVar	
catch	weave	

Structured and restricted kernel calls



Structured kernel calls

Another way to look at it: Refactoring
 f tid a b c = f' a b c (getTidState tid)

Structured / restricted kernel calls summary

- getTidState and updateTidState must correctly select and update state components
- Kernel call, by their type (TidState -> TidState) can only affect their own state
- Reduce trusted LOC count to 400

Structure	Separation / process	Separation / kernel	Adequacy	Swept Under Rug	Performance	Mutable State	Exceptions	Interleaving	Kernel Calls / Exec
Thread monad	3	1	1	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν
Thread Braid 0 / unlifted	3	1	2	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ
local / lifted	3	3	2	5	5	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ
Braid 1	5	3	3	5	5	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ
Braid 2	5	3	5	5	5	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Structured Kernel Calls	5	4	5	5	5	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Osker to do

- Interrupts
 - User programs run under interpreter are interruptible
 - Kernel threads currently use cooperative multitasking
 - Looking at ways to make kernel threads interruptible, to support device drivers
- Features
 - Job control, pipes, ...

Osker summary

- Osker is currently 25000 LOC
 400 trusted for thread separation property
- Have achieved the "mostly by types, a little by theorem proving" goal for the architecture
- The thread switching performance is excellent (140000 per second)
- Very little is under the rug

The bottom line

- The framework of Osker supports separation in large scale software projects
 - Complete separation (MILS)
 - Intransitive interference (MLS and other policies)