

Moving forward with DIS and the Building Code

Kathleen Fisher, DARPA

Ray Richards, Rockwell Collins

John Hatcliff, Kansas State University

John Launchbury, Galois, Inc.

Bill Scherlis, CMU (moderator)

[Byron Cook, Microsoft Research]

4-I + 4-A + 3-G

Moving forward with DIS and the Building Code

• Questions

- What are early indicators and incremental steps that might signal the best courses of action to pursue the vision?
- What are technical opportunities and barriers to advancing the vision, and what research should be advanced?
- What are possible business and policy enablers or impediments?
 - Affordability, ROI, measurement, economic modeling
 - Compliance and safe harbors → direct product assay
 - IP protection and exposure
 - Government drivers

Building codes, idealized

Five salient features

- (1) Engineering constraints**
- (2) Predicted quality outcomes**
- (3) Visible evidence of quality**
- (4) Explicit support for response**
- (5) Continuous evolution**

They exist.

They work.

Consensus and compromise

- (1) Enable innovation
- (2) Protect IP
- (3) Limit impacts on cost, performance, schedule, quality
- (4) Fairly allocate risk and responsibility
- (5) Afford measurement and visibility of risk and cost

Accommodations and Possibilities

- (1) Fast pace of technology and ecosystem advancement
 - More goals (what); less mechanism (how)
 - Require a positive case with concrete evidence
- (2) Scale, interconnection, customization unlike physical systems
 - Composition is key
- (3) Diversity and inter-relatedness of quality attributes
 - Build models, analyses, metrics, composition for each
 - Combine quality and security attributes – breakage and threats
- (4) Hardware special needs and opportunities
 - Rethink trusted hardware
- (5) Economics and measurement as fundamental drivers
 - Address incentives in building code – from EVM to IDE
 - Fairly allocate risk mitigation benefit